

1. Introduction

Let A be a square $n \times n$ matrix

x a vector

$\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ a scalar

$$Ax = \lambda x$$

eigen system. λ is called an eigenvalue

x is the eigenvectors

usually (λ, x) is called an eigenpair

Here and later we assume that A is Hermitian, i.e. $A^* = A$

i.e. real, symmetric

2. Determining Eigenvalues and eigenvectors

$$Ax = \lambda x \Rightarrow (A - I\lambda)x = 0, \text{ where } I \text{ is the identity matrix}$$

This LSE has $x \neq 0$ solution $\Leftrightarrow \det(A - I\lambda) = 0$

Since the degree of $p(\lambda)$ is n

it has n roots

↑

A matrix has n eigenvalues and n corresponding x eigenvectors.

The n eigenvalues:

$$\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n \quad \text{If all } \lambda_i > 0 \Rightarrow A \text{ is positive definite}$$
$$\lambda_i \geq 0 \Rightarrow A \text{ positive semi-definite}$$

so each λ_i has an x_i eigenvector.

↪ $\|x_i\| = 1$ eigenvectors are normal

↪ $x_i \cdot x_j = \delta_{ij}$ the eigenvectors are orthogonal

3. Methods in general

Eigensolver

direct solver iterative solver

Direct solver: transformation method

↪ need to store the full matrix

↪ never fails to get the eigenvalues (99%)

Iterative solver: starting vector(s)

↪ don't need to store a full matrix

↪ can be very slow or fail to find eigenvalue

Important questions:

↳ How many eigenvalues do you need?

↳ all \Rightarrow direct method

↳ Size of the matrix

↳ if very large \Rightarrow iterative method

↳ small matrix, but ill-conditioned \Rightarrow direct better than iterative

4. Iterative methods

4.1. Power method

Algorithm:

y starting vector; $y \neq 0$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots$

$$v = \frac{y}{\|y\|}$$

$$y = A \cdot v$$

$$\theta = v \cdot y$$

if $\|y - \theta v\| < \epsilon$, stop

end for

Results: $\theta = \lambda_1$ eigenvalue

v eigenvector

But which eigenvalues?

$$y = c_1 x_1 + c_2 x_2 + \dots + c_n x_n \quad |A^k|.$$

$$\begin{aligned} A^k y &= c_1 A^k x_1 + c_2 A^k x_2 + \dots + c_n A^k x_n = c_1 \lambda_1^k x_1 + c_2 \lambda_2^k x_2 + \dots = \\ &= \lambda_1^k (c_1 x_1 + \sum_{i=2}^n \left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_1}\right)^k c_i x_i) \end{aligned}$$

If $\lambda_1 \gg \lambda_i \Rightarrow \left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_1}\right)^k \rightarrow 0$, if $k \rightarrow \infty$

So power iteration converges to the largest eigenvalue.

If A sparse $\Rightarrow A \cdot v$ is very fast

If $\lambda_1 \gg \lambda_i \Rightarrow$ the convergence is very fast, otherwise slow.

4.2. Further eigenvalues

If we know (λ_1, x_1) eigenpair: choose another a starting vector $a \perp x_1$: $b_1 = a - c_1 \cdot x_1$, where $c_1 = a \cdot x_1$

If we know the first m eigenpairs:

$$b = a - \sum_{i=1}^m c_i x_i, \text{ where } c_i = a \cdot x_i$$

4.3 Inversion eigenvalues - shift method.

$$(A - \mu I)v = (\lambda - \mu)v$$

↳ using power method to the shifted matrix, we get the closest λ eigenvalue to μ .

If μ is a good estimation \Rightarrow very fast.

4.4 Inverse (power) method.

y : starting vector

for $\epsilon = 1, 2, \dots$

$$v = \frac{y}{\|y\|}$$

$$y = A^{-1} \cdot v$$

$$\Theta = v \cdot y$$

if $\|y - \Theta v\| < \epsilon$, stop

end for

$$\text{Result}, \lambda = 1/\Theta \text{ and } x = \frac{y}{\Theta}$$

Computing A^{-1} is expensive $\Rightarrow A \cdot v = y$ LSO \Rightarrow fast (CGM)

λ_1 largest $\Rightarrow \frac{1}{\lambda_1}$ smallest, so the inverse method results in the smallest eigenvalue.

Usually very slow!

4.5 Rayleigh Quotient iteration

shift the matrix with $S(x) = \frac{x \cdot A \cdot x}{x \cdot x}$ Rayleigh quotient

y : starting vector; $S_0 = 0$

for $\epsilon = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

$$v = \frac{y}{\|y\|}$$

$$y = (A - S_\epsilon I)^{-1} v$$

$$\Theta = \|y\|$$

$$S_{\epsilon+1} = S_\epsilon + \frac{y \cdot v}{\Theta}$$

$$v = \frac{y}{\Theta}$$

if $\Theta \geq \epsilon$, stop.

end for

Results: $\lambda = \Theta$ and $x = v$

4.6. Lanczos method

$$A \longrightarrow T \text{ tridiagonal matrix } T = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \beta_1 & & & \\ \beta_1 \alpha_2 \beta_2 & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \beta_{j-1} \beta_j \alpha_j \\ & & \ddots & \beta_j \end{bmatrix}$$

eigenvalues of T are eigenvalues of A .

similarity transformation:

$$Q^T A Q = T, \text{ where } Q^T Q = I$$

↓

$$AQ = QT$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \vdots q_i \vdots \dots \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \vdots q_{i+1} \vdots q_j \vdots q_{i+1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \vdots \vdots \beta_{j-1} \\ \vdots \vdots \alpha_j \\ \vdots \vdots \beta_j \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Aq_j = \beta_{j-1} q_{j-1} + \alpha_j q_j + \beta_j q_{j+1}$$

a) determine α_j & q_j^T

$$q_j^T A q_j = \underbrace{\beta_{j-1}_j q_j q_{j-1}}_0 + \underbrace{\alpha_j q_j q_j}_1 + \underbrace{\beta_j q_j q_{j+1}}_0 \Rightarrow \alpha_j = q_j^T A q_j$$

b) determine β_j

$$r_j = \beta_j q_{j+1} = Aq_j - \beta_{j-1} q_{j-1} - \alpha_j q_j$$

$$\|r_j\| = \beta_j \Rightarrow q_{j+1} = \frac{r_j}{\beta_j}$$

Algorithm:

r_0 starting vector, $R_0 = \|r_0\|$

$j = 1, 2, \dots, \underline{\text{stop}}$

$$q_j = \frac{r_{j-1}}{\beta_{j-1}}$$

$$a = A \cdot q_j$$

$$\ell_j = q_j \cdot a$$

$$r_j = a - \beta_{j-1} q_{j-1} - \alpha_j q_j$$

$$\beta_j = \|r_j\|$$

if $\beta_j < \epsilon$ stop

Results $T = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \beta_1 \\ \beta_1 \alpha_2 \beta_2 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \text{compute eigenvalue/eigenvector of } T$

A eigenvectors = T eigenvalues

A eigenvectors : $X = Q \cdot S$, where $Q = [q_1, q_2, q_3 \dots]$
 S components of T matrix.

If we use $a = A \cdot q_i \Rightarrow$ largest eigenvalue

If we use $a = A^T q_i$ or $A \cdot a = q_i \Rightarrow$ smallest eigenvalues.

It is necessary $Q^T Q = I \Rightarrow$ we need to reorthogonalize the
q vectors

$$r_i = r_i - \sum_{k=1}^q (q_k \cdot r_i) q_k$$

5. Direct methods

5.1. Jacobi method

Similarity transformation with P Jacobian rotation matrix

$$A_{\Sigma+1} = P_\Sigma^T A_\Sigma P_\Sigma, \text{ where } P_\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} p & q \\ 1 & 1 \\ \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^P$$

each step we modify p/q row and column

$$s_k = \sum_{i \neq j} (a_{ij})^2 \rightarrow \text{minimize}$$

Very reliable

5.2. Householder method

$$A_{\Sigma+1} = P_\Sigma^T A_\Sigma P_\Sigma, \text{ where } P_\Sigma = I - 2v_\Sigma v_\Sigma^T, \text{ where}$$



v_Σ has an explicit form

$$A_\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \parallel & \parallel & \parallel \end{bmatrix}$$

\rightarrow determine the eigenvalues and
eigenvectors.

5.3. QR method

Each matrix $A = Q R$, where Q orthogonal

for $\Sigma = 1, 2, 3 \dots$

$$A_\Sigma = Q_\Sigma R_\Sigma$$

$$A_{\Sigma+1} = R_\Sigma Q_\Sigma$$

R upper triangle
matrix

Results : $A_\Sigma \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \parallel & \parallel & \parallel \end{bmatrix}$ are the eigenvalues

Very good for tridiagonal matrix \Rightarrow QR used in Householder and Lanczos - 5 -